

# Comparison of Microleakage of Class V Cavities restored with Nanofill Resin Composite, Highly Viscous Glass-Ionomer Cement and Highly Viscous Glass-Ionomer Cement/Resin Coating.

Kornkamon Sukchit <sup>a</sup> , Kirati Srichuanchuenskun <sup>b</sup>

The purpose of this in vitro study was to evaluate the microleakage rate of class V cavities restored with nanofill resin composite (Filtek™ Z350 XT), highly viscous glass-ionomer cement (Ketac Universal Aplicap) and highly viscous glass-ionomer cement/resin coating (EQUIA Forte™ Fil) at occlusal and gingival margins.

## Materials and methods

Thirty sound extracted human upper premolars were prepared for class V cavities (2.6 mm. mesio-distal width, 4 mm. occluso- gingival width and 2 mm. depth) on the buccal surfaces. All the prepared teeth were randomly divided into 3 groups (n=10) and restored with 3 materials following the manufacturer's recommendations. After that, all the restored teeth were submitted to simultaneous 5,000 thermal cycles in water at 55°C and 5°C and stained with the 2% methylene blue solution for 4 hours. All the teeth were section and evaluated the microleakage rate with stereoscopic microscope. The statistically differences among 3 groups were analyzed by using Mann-Witney U test (p-value >0.05).

## The results

At both the occlusal and gingival margins, the percentage of microleakage scores of Filtek™ Z350 XT ,EQUIA Forte™ Fil glass ionomer cement and Ketac Universal Aplicap glass ionomer cement restorations were shown at Table 1.

## References

- Castro A, Feigal RF. Microleakage of a new improved glass ionomer restorative material in primary and permanent teeth. *Pediatric Dentistry* 2002; 24(1): 23-8.
- Abuelenain D, Abou Neel E, Aldharrab A. Surface and Mechanical Properties of Different Dental Composites. *Austin Journal of Dentistry* 2015; 2(2): 1019-21.
- Van Meerbeek BY, Inoue S, De Munck J, van Landuyt K, Lambrechts, P. Glass-ionomer adhesion: the mechanisms at the interface. *Journal of Dentistry* 2006; 34(8): 615-7.

materials	percentage of mean microleakage score Mean±SD (95%CI)	
	Occlusal	gingival
Filtek™ Z350 XT	21.45±10.25 <sup>a</sup>	24.82±6.19 <sup>a</sup>
Ketac Universal Aplicap	100±0 <sup>b</sup>	100±0 <sup>b</sup>
EQUIA Forte™ Fil	27.48±15.49 <sup>a</sup>	51.63±20.21 <sup>c</sup>

**Table 1** shows the percentage of microleakage scores of all material restorations

\* The similar letters represent no statistically difference (p-value > 0.05) by Mann-Whitney U test



**Picture 1** the sample pictures of microleakage took from stereoscopic microscope

**In conclusion**, The classV cavity restored with resin composite Filtek™ Z350 XT had lower percentage of mean microleakage score than EQUIA Forte™ Fil glass ionomer cement and Ketac Universal Aplicap glass ionomer cement both at occlusal and gingival margin. Ketac Universal Aplicap glass ionomer cement restoration had 100% percentage of mean microleakage score.

## Discussion

Filtek™ Z350 XT exhibited the lowest microleakage score both at occlusal and gingival margins due to the use of 3 steps etch and rinse adhesive system. On the other hand, EQUIA Forte™ Fil and Ketac Universal Aplicap were used without surface treatment.